



## Notice of meeting of Decision Session - Cabinet Member for Crime and Community Safety

**To:** Councillor Fraser (Cabinet Member)

**Date:** Tuesday, 3 April 2012

**Time:** 4.30 pm

**Venue:** The Guildhall, York

## AGENDA

# **Notice to Members - Calling In:**

Members are reminded that, should they wish to call in any item on this agenda, notice must be given to Democracy Support Group by:

**10:00 am on Monday 2 April 2012** if an item is called in *before* a decision is taken, *or* 

**4:00 pm on Thursday, 5 April 2012** if an item is called in *after* a decision has been taken.

Items called in will be considered by the Scrutiny Management Committee.

Written representations in respect of item on this agenda should be submitted to Democratic Services by **5.00pm** on **Friday 30 March 2012**.



#### 1. Declarations of Interest

At this point the Cabinet Member is asked to declare any personal or prejudicial interests he might have in the business on the agenda.

**2. Minutes** (Pages 3 - 6)

To approve and sign the minutes of the meeting held on 6 March 2012.

## 3. Public Participation - Decision Session

At this point in the meeting, Members of the public who have registered their wish to speak at the meeting can do so. The deadline for registering is Monday 2 April 2012 at **5.00pm**.

Members of the public may register to speak on -:

- An item on the agenda
- An issue within the Cabinet Member's remit
- An item that has been published on the Information Log since the last session. Information reports are listed at the end of the agenda.

Please note that no items have been published on the Information Log since the last Decision Session.

# 4. **Designated Public Place Orders** (Pages 7 - 20)

The following report outlines the current landscape of Designated Public Place Orders (DPPO) and puts forward proposals to consult on a simplification of the structure which would ease enforcement and reduce displacement.

# 5. Urgent Business

Any other business which the Cabinet Member considers urgent under the Local Government Act 1972.

## **Democracy Officer:**

Name: Judith Betts Contact Details:

- Telephone (01904) 551078
- Email judith.betts@york.gov.uk

For more information about any of the following please contact the Democracy Officer responsible for servicing this meeting

- Registering to speak
- Written Representations
- Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports



## **About City of York Council Meetings**

## Would you like to speak at this meeting?

If you would, you will need to:

- register by contacting the Democracy Officer (whose name and contact details can be found on the agenda for the meeting) no later than 5.00 pm on the last working day before the meeting;
- ensure that what you want to say speak relates to an item of business on the agenda or an issue which the committee has power to consider (speak to the Democracy Officer for advice on this);
- find out about the rules for public speaking from the Democracy Officer.

A leaflet on public participation is available on the Council's website or from Democratic Services by telephoning York (01904) 551088

# Further information about what's being discussed at this meeting

All the reports which Members will be considering are available for viewing online on the Council's website. Alternatively, copies of individual reports or the full agenda are available from Democratic Services. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the agenda for the meeting. Please note a small charge may be made for full copies of the agenda requested to cover administration costs.

# **Access Arrangements**

We will make every effort to make the meeting accessible to you. The meeting will usually be held in a wheelchair accessible venue with an induction hearing loop. We can provide the agenda or reports in large print, electronically (computer disk or by email), in Braille or on audio tape. Some formats will take longer than others so please give as much notice as possible (at least 48 hours for Braille or audio tape).

If you have any further access requirements such as parking closeby or a sign language interpreter then please let us know. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the order of business for the meeting. Every effort will also be made to make information available in another language, either by providing translated information or an interpreter providing sufficient advance notice is given. Telephone York (01904) 551550 for this service.

যদি যথেষ্ট আগে থেকে জানানো হয় তাহলে অন্য কোন ভাষাতে তথ্য জানানোর জন্য সব ধরণের চেষ্টা করা হবে, এর জন্য দরকার হলে তথ্য অনুবাদ করে দেয়া হবে অথবা একজন দোভাষী সরবরাহ করা হবে। টেলিফোন নম্বর (01904) 551 550 ।

Yeteri kadar önceden haber verilmesi koşuluyla, bilgilerin terümesini hazırlatmak ya da bir tercüman bulmak için mümkün olan herşey yapılacaktır. Tel: (01904) 551 550

我們竭力使提供的資訊備有不同語言版本,在有充足時間提前通知的情况下會安排筆譯或口譯服務。電話 (01904) 551 550。

Informacja może być dostępna w tłumaczeniu, jeśli dostaniemy zapotrzebowanie z wystarczającym wyprzedzeniem. Tel: (01904) 551 550

## **Holding the Cabinet to Account**

The majority of councillors are not appointed to the Cabinet (39 out of 47). Any 3 non-Cabinet councillors can 'call-in' an item of business from a published Cabinet (or Cabinet Member Decision Session) agenda. The Cabinet will still discuss the 'called in' business on the published date and will set out its views for consideration by a specially convened Scrutiny Management Committee (SMC). That SMC meeting will then make its recommendations to the next scheduled Cabinet meeting in the following week, where a final decision on the 'called-in' business will be made.

# **Scrutiny Committees**

The purpose of all scrutiny and ad-hoc scrutiny committees appointed by the Council is to:

- Monitor the performance and effectiveness of services;
- Review existing policies and assist in the development of new ones, as necessary; and
- Monitor best value continuous service improvement plans

# Who Gets Agenda and Reports for our Meetings?

- Councillors get copies of all agenda and reports for the committees to which they are appointed by the Council;
- Relevant Council Officers get copies of relevant agenda and reports for the committees which they report to;
- Public libraries get copies of **all** public agenda/reports.

City of York Council	Committee Minutes
MEETING	DECISION SESSION - CABINET MEMBER FOR CRIME AND COMMUNITY SAFETY
DATE	6 MARCH 2012
PRESENT	COUNCILLOR FRASER (CABINET MEMBER)

#### 26. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

At this point in the meeting the Cabinet Member was invited to declare any personal or prejudicial interests he might have in the business on the agenda.

#### 27. MINUTES

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the last Crime and

Community Safety Decision Session held on 7 February 2012 be approved and signed by the

Cabinet Member as a correct record.

#### 28. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - DECISION SESSION

It was reported that there had been no registrations to speak under the Council's Public Participation Scheme.

#### 29. E-CRIME ENFORCEMENT

The Cabinet Member received a report which informed him of a successful bid for grant funding to establish a national trading standards E-Crime enforcement facility in partnership with North Yorkshire County Council. The report sought approval to accept the grant offer and expand the regional trading standards Scambuster Team to accommodate the additional work.

The Cabinet Member was informed that as a result of the funding, three new jobs would be created in the Scambuster Team. It was also noted that the reputation of the previous team had contributed to the successful bid.

The Cabinet Member requested that his congratulations to the team who had put together the successful bid be noted.

RESOLVED: (i) That the report be noted.

(ii) That Option A, as set out in Paragraph 14 of the report be adopted.

REASON: To support the establishment of a grant

funded trading standards E-Crime

investigative facility in York.

#### 30. PUBLIC PROTECTION LEGAL ACTIONS

The Cabinet Member received a report which enabled him to review the results of legal actions (prosecutions, cautions and fixed penalties) undertaken by Environmental Health, Trading Standards, and Licensing Services in the Communities and Neighbourhoods Directorate for the period of 1<sup>st</sup> October 2011-31<sup>st</sup> December 2011.

The Cabinet Member requested that his congratulations to all Officers who had been involved in Scambuster cases be noted.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted and approved.

REASON: So that the Cabinet Member reviews formal

enforcement activity undertaken by

Environmental Health, Trading Standards, and

Licensing Services.

#### 31. CRIME SUMMIT

The Cabinet Member received a report which outlined the proposal for an annual Crime Summit, and sets out the proposed format for the event.

Following a question from the Cabinet Member regarding involvement in the event from the business community, it was noted that a number of business organisations could not attend the event, however work was still ongoing to ensure engagement with the business community.

It was noted that overall, business crime in York was low, and the majority was focused around shoplifting in the retail sector. The organisation Retailers Against Crime in York (RACY), were actively engaged with local retailers, as were the local retailers themselves, to overcome this.

RESOLVED: (i) That the report be noted.

(ii) That Option A, as set out in Paragraph 5 of the report be approved.

REASON: To enable the event to take place as

planned on 25<sup>th</sup> April involving a wide

audience and participation.

CLLR S FRASER, Cabinet Member [The meeting started at 4.30 pm and finished at 4.45 pm].



Cabinet Member for Crime and Community Safety

3rd April 2012

Report of the Assistant Director – Housing & Public Protection

#### **DESIGNATED PUBLIC PLACE ORDERS**

## Summary

1. The following report outlines the current landscape of Designated Public Place Orders (DPPO) and puts forward proposals to consult on a simplification of the structure which would ease enforcement and reduce displacement.

## **Background**

- 2. The purpose of a Designated Public Place Order is to restrict antisocial drinking in designated public places. It is applicable to those over the age of 18 as there are separate laws available to the Police for dealing with those who are under 18. Local Authorities have the power to make an Order under Section 13 of the Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001.
- 3. An order provides Police with enforcement powers (within the defined area) to request an individual or group to cease drinking and to confiscate alcohol if they believe that the individual or group has been, is or is about to behave in an anti-social manner.
- 4. An order is not a comprehensive ban on drinking in the open air and does not cover licensed premises.
- 5. Once a DPPO is in place, the police can use their confiscation powers to enforce the restriction. It is not an offence to consume alcohol within a designated area, but failure to comply with an officer's requests to stop drinking and surrender the alcohol without reasonable excuse is.
- 6. DPPO's are available in areas that have experienced alcohol related anti-social behaviour problems. They have been used

across the country in areas ranging from a single street or park, to town centre or city-wide areas.

#### **Current Position**

- 7. Since the introduction of the legislation, York has successfully implemented 19 DPPOs in response to escalating levels of alcohol related anti-social behaviour in various locations across the city. (See Annex 1 for plan showing locations). Combined with the development of a cumulative impact zone restricting the number of licensed premises within the city centre, anti-social behaviour related to alcohol has not escalated in York to the same levels seen in other UK cities.
- 8. In 2008, the North Yorkshire Police (NYP) Acting Chief Superintendant with responsibility for York convened a small working group comprised of representatives from Safer York Partnership (SYP), City of York Council and North Yorkshire Police Licensing Teams to look at options for simplifying the DPPO landscape by designating the area within the Bar Walls as a single DPPO. At the time, concerns were raised about displacement and the levels of police resource available to enforce the legislation. The idea was therefore not progressed.
- 9. Since the original discussions for a wider DPPO, the police have restructured to assign all officers to a geographical (ward based) area of the city.
- 10. Analysis of the levels of crime and anti-social behaviour associated with existing DPPOs, including possible displacement shows that in the buffer areas, levels of crime have reduced but the levels of anti-social behaviour have increased. Fourteen of the zones were put in place before 2007 and the CSP only holds records from 2007. Therefore it is not possible to carry out a complete analysis on the periods before and after the zones were established. It should also be noted that part of the rationale for the zones is to promote calls for service in order to increase police response, therefore by default calls for service in these areas will increase.
- 11. North Yorkshire Police recorded Anti Social Behaviour (ASB) Calls for Service show increased levels during July and August each year from 2009 2011. This is consistent with qualitative evidence from the Safer Neighbourhood Teams and calls and emails received by SYP in relation to escalations in anti-social behaviour associated

- with alcohol during the summer months. It is not possible to map alcohol related ASB due to the way in which data is recorded on the police system. However, an indication of the extent to which alcohol impacts on ASB can be gained through manual interrogation of the police data system.
- 12. During Summer 2011, displacement from the city centre DPPOs resulted in a number of complaints from residents about the antisocial activities of street drinkers within residential areas of the city. This resulted in an operation between the police, Safer York Partnership and partners to develop a multi-agency package of support and enforcement. However, this level of intervention cannot be sustained on a long term basis.
- 13. Safer York Partnership also regularly receives emails highlighting incidents relating to street drinkers in Union Terrace Coach Park and the impact this has on visitors arriving in the city.
- 14. A petition has been generated by the taxi drivers and business community in Stonebow and St Saviourgate, urging the Council to create a DDPO in this area of the city due to the high levels of crime and anti-social behaviour associated with Street Drinkers using Carecent, a centre for homeless, disadvantaged and unemployed people.
- 15. Members of the York North Safer Neighbourhood Team (SNT) have submitted copies of emails sent in relation to displacement from the Union Terrace DPPO and photographs of street drinkers behaving in an anti-social manner in and around the coach park on Union Terrace. SNTs officers in all Safer Neighbourhood Areas have highlighted concerns with escalating levels of anti-social behaviour relating to street drinkers, particularly during the summer.
- 16. Copmanthorpe has experienced displacement issues from neighbouring Tadcaster, as many of the youths in Copmanthorpe attend Tadcaster Grammar School. A problem solving plan has been implemented in Copmanthorpe to deal specifically with issues occurring during evenings and weekends involving large groups (sometimes up to 70) youths. Interventions have included plain clothes police patrols, liaison with CYC Youth Service and an increase in the age at which alcohol can be purchased from the Co-Op to 30. Such approaches are resource intensive and cannot be sustained as a permanent solution.

#### Consultation

- 17. In addition to the existing 19 DPPO's, Safer York Partnership and City of York Council Licensing regularly receive requests for additional DPPOs. Currently requests for further DPPO's have been received (Annex 2). These requests cover approximately 30 additional streets.
- 18. Requests are generally the result of frustration that alcohol relating anti-social behaviour is escalating and a belief that the ability for the police to seize alcohol will remove and/or contain the problem. Examples include the market traders in Newgate, Business and Taxi companies in St Saviourgate and Stonebow and the residents of The Groves who have suffered displacement from Union Terrace.
- 19. The major disadvantage of responding to individual requests for DPPOs is that it increases confusion of the exact boundaries of the orders. This makes enforcement extremely difficult as the police need to be clear on which parts of wards are covered by the legislation. Members of the public have regularly contacted Safer York Partnership with concerns that the existing DPPOs are not enforced and examples have been provided where police have been seen in the area but no action has been taken against people breaching a DPPO. This is likely to be due to those officers having been dispatched by the control room to another more urgent incident or simply because of the difficulties in knowing the exact boundaries of the existing 19 areas.
- 20. As a result a small working group comprised of the Head of Community Safety, SYP Analyst, SYP Crime Reduction Manager, CYC & NYP Licensing and the City Centre Manager met in August to discuss solutions to the displacement issue and look at widening the area of the City Centre DPPO to within the Bar Walls. The meeting concluded that widening the boundaries of existing city centre DPPOs would not resolve the issues of displacement and may actually spread alcohol related anti-social behaviour relating to the most problematic street drinkers further into residential areas. It was concluded that creating one DPPO for the whole City would address the displacement problem as it would be unlikely that street drinkers would move as far out as the ring road.

- 21. On 26<sup>th</sup> September 2011 a paper was taken to the Safer York Partnership Board recommending that number of DPPOs in York should be rationalised to one single DPPO for the whole Unitary Authority area. No decision was taken as it was felt that the paper did not contain sufficient evidence to support a city wide DPPO.
- 22. On 30<sup>th</sup> January 2012, the DPPO was discussed again at the SYP Board. Since the previous meeting the Acting Assistant Chief Constable has formally confirmed NYP's support for a city wide DPPO stating that the police would welcome this approach as it would simplify enforcement arrangements by giving all officers in York the power to seize alcohol under the legislation.
- 23. The SYP Board is comprised of representation from the police, Fire & Rescue, The Police Authority, City of York Council, York and North Yorkshire Probation and the Primary Care Trust. The representatives of these agencies agreed to support the concept of widening the coverage of the DPPO and recommended four options for consideration by the Cabinet Member for Crime and Community Safety.
- 24. Consultation is a crucial element of obtaining a DPPO as it ensures the support of the community and licensees within the area affected. Opting to significantly increase the area covered by a DPPO would require a more enhanced approach to consultation ensuring that communities in York had the opportunity to contribute their views to the evidence gathering process that would support this approach. Therefore, it is proposed that consultation should not be restricted to the usual contact with on and off licensed premises, but should extend to residents groups and an on-line survey through the Safer York Partnership website.

## **Options**

- 25. Option 1 To maintain the status quo and consult on additional DPPOs to address problem areas as they arise.
- 26. Option 2 To consult on a single DPPO within the Bar Walls, maintain those existing outside the Bar Walls and create additional orders to address problems as they arise.

- 27. Option 3 To consult on a single DPPO within the boundary of the Outer Ring Road, maintain those existing outside the outer ring road and create additional orders to address problems as they arise.
- 28. Option 4 To consult on a single DPPO covering the whole Unitary Authority.

### **Analysis**

- 29. Option 1 We know from qualitative evidence received by the police Safer Neighbourhood Teams and from statistical evidence of anti-social behaviour that there is displacement from the current DPPOs within the city. As already mentioned, during the summer, levels of anti-social behaviour increase significantly and displacement has been recorded around all existing DPPOs. There are currently 19 individual DPPOs which makes it extremely difficult for the police to enforce as all officers need to be familiar with the exact boundaries.
- 30. Option 2 Does not change the situation significantly from option 1. Historical evidence already shows displacement and this is likely to impact further on residential areas outside the bar walls. The problem would also impact significantly on the British Transport Police in relation to the Railway Station which is situated outside the Bar Walls.
- 31. Option 3 Displacement is less likely to occur beyond the ring road if this option were to be implemented. However, there are already DPPOs in place within the outlying rural wards of the city, and some of these areas (e.g. Copmanthorpe) have suffered displacement as a result of neighbouring districts implementing DPPOs. There would therefore be a need to retain individual DPPO's which fall outside the outer ring road and consider new individual DPPO's as requests arose.
- 32. Option 4 Will provide the least risk of displacement as it is unlikely that the most prolific street drinkers within the city centre would migrate to beyond the ring road. This option would also clarify the legislation and enforcement procedure for all officers working within the York Safer Neighbourhood Command area. It should be stressed that if this option is taken forward, there is a need for clear public messages that state the meaning of the legislation and to whom it applies.

#### **Council Plan**

33. The Council Plan refers to tackling crime and increasing community safety. It also refers to engaging residents in tackling anti-social behaviour in our neighbourhoods. The proposal for a city wide DPPO would assist the Council in achieving this aim.

## **Implications**

- 34. The implications arising from this report are:
  - Financial Cost of legal notices and signage would be met by Safer York Partnership and not impact on CYC
  - Human Resources (HR) N/A
  - **Equalities** Tackling harm caused by alcohol and anti-social behaviour are priorities within the Safer York Partnership Community Safety Plan. This plan has undergone a full EIA and its content is inclusive of all communities within the city of York.
  - Legal Local Authorities have the power to make an Order under Section 13 of the Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001. Legal notices are required and fall within the remit of the Licensing team.
  - Crime and Disorder This report relates entirely to tackling crime and disorder. The implementation of a DPPO will enable the Police to request an individual or group to cease drinking and to confiscate alcohol if they believe that the individual or group has been, is or is about to behave in an anti-social manner.
  - Information Technology (IT) NA
  - Property NA
  - Other NA

# Risk Management

35. No known risks.

#### Recommendations

- 36. The Cabinet Member is asked to:
  - Consider the options and approve the recommendation to consult on the option that he feels will best address the problems associated with alcohol related street drinking.

Reason: To ensure that the city council and the police can effectively tackle the problems associated with anti-social behaviour and street drinking

#### **Contact Details**

Author:

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Jane Mowat

Head of Community Safety

Communities & Assistant Director – Housing & Public Protection

Neighbourhoods

Tel No. 01904 669077

Report Approved

Date 22<sup>nd</sup> March 12

Approved

Wards Affected: List wards or tick box to indicate all All x

For further information please contact the author of the report Background Papers:

**Annex 1 – Maps showing York's existing DPPO's** 

**Annex 2** – Map showing requests for new DPPO's





